

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,139	06/09/200	5 Christophe Martinez	007875-0316312	1495	
909	7590 06/	28/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	RY WINTHROP	PENG, CH	PENG, CHARLIE YU		
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN.	VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			2883		
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/200	06	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/538,139	MARTINEZ, CHRIS	STOPHE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charlie Peng	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice units.	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal ma		merits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at a subject of the specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 09 June 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection the specificant may not request the specifi	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. aminer. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/18/2005. S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark UII PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

BRIAN HEALY Office Action Summary PRIMARY EXAMINER

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060623

Application/Control Number: 10/538,139

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 states "by radiation and/or by introduction of ionic species." Claim 18 states "Ag⁺ and/or K⁺ ions". A phrase of "A and/or B" is inherently indefinite since it is not clear whether A and B are to be considered together or as alternative choices.

Claims 9-17 are also rejected as being inclusive of subject matter in claim 8.

Claim Objections

Claims 11-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 11 states both "...a first mask comprising a pattern..." and "...a second mask comprising a pattern..." Applicant should distinguish the different patterns of the first and second masks by using "a first/second pattern" or the like. Appropriate correction is required.

Corresponding corrections to claims 12 and 13 are required.

Allowable Subject Matter

<u>Claims 1 and 7 are allowed.</u> Applicant discloses an optical coupling element and a method of making the same. U.S. Patent 6,141,465 to Bischel et al. teaches, in reference to Fig. 3, a substrate 60 with a waveguide core 64 formed therein, a poled structure 62 of two types of domains 66/68 that is modulated to create a grating.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,139

Art Unit: 2883

However, Bischel lacks an optical cladding formed in the substrate and independent of the optical guide core in a zone of interaction; Bischel also lacks forming a coupling grating between the optical guide core and the optical cladding. Applicant's claim has several features that distinguish from common modulated gratings by relevant prior art. Firstly, the optical core and cladding are formed *in* a substrate as opposed to *on* a substrate epitaxially by most prior art; secondly, the optical cladding formed is independent of the core; finally, the cladding is modulated in the zone of interaction to form the coupling grating whereas prior art commonly modulate the core to create agrating. The combination of these limitations is not taught or suggested by relevant prior art, and it is the examiner's position that the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the combination of the three limitations stated, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Claims 2-6 are allowed as dependent claims of allowed claims 1 and 7.

Claims 8-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see form PTO-892 for additional references cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charlie Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-2177. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 6 pm M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,139 Page 4

Art Unit: 2883

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

сур

BRIAN HEALY PRIMARY EXAMINER